CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF LODI CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS

January 20, 1982

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi was held beginning at 8:00 p.m., Wednesday, January 20, 1982 in the City Hall Council Chambers.

ROLL CALL

Present:

Councilmen - Hughes (arrived

8:02 p.m.), Katnich, Pinkerton, and McCarty

(Mayor)

Absent:

Councilmen - Murphy

Also Present: City Manager Glaves, Assistant City

Manager Glenn, Public Works Director Ronsko, Community Development Director Schroeder, City Attorney Stein, and

City Clerk Reimche.

INVOCATION:

PLEDGE:

The invocation was given by Father Raymond Knapp, St. John's Episcopal Church.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mayor Mccarty.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

APPEAL CONTINUED RE
USE PERMIT TO USE
SINGLE-FAMILY PARCEL
AT 1001 YORK STREET,
LODI, AS AN OFF-STREET
PARKING LOT FOR THE
EXPANSION OF FAIRMONT
REHABILITATION
HOSPITAL AT 950 SOUTH
FAIRMONT STREET, LODI

Following introduction of the matter by City Clerk Reimche, Council, on motion of Councilman Pinkerton, Katnich second, continued to the February 3, 1982 regular Council meeting, the Public Hearing to consider the appeal of George J. Williams, M.D., 1200 West

the appeal of George J. Williams, M.D., 1200 West Vine Street, Lodi, of the Planning Commission's denial of his request for a use permit to use the single-family parcel at 1001 York Street, Lodi, as an off-street parking lot for the expansion of Fairmont Rehabilitation Hospital at 950 South Fairmont Avenue,

Lodi, California.

12-43(F)

Notice thereof having been published in accordance with law and affidavit of publication being on file in the office of the City Clerk, Mayor McCarty called for the Public Hearing to consider the Hutchins Street Improvement Project, Kettleman Lane to Pine Street.

The matter was introduced by Public Works Director Ronsko and Richard C. Prima, Jr., Associate Civil Engineer who presented diagrams of the subject area, explained the purpose of the hearing, the general history of the project and the project description.

Kate Burdick, Environmental Planner and Jeff Clark, Traffic Engineer for CH2MHill spoke on the EIR and traffic studies.

City and property owner responsibilities, the proposed schedule and the minimum recommended project were also discussed. The following persons addressed the Council regarding the matter:

- a) Brenda Kermode, 510 Rimby Street, Lodi
- b) Gary Blomgren, 16830 N. Locust Tree Road, Lodi
- Gene Walton, Attorney at Law, representing Leon Perlegas, 1340 S. Hutchins Street, Lodi

Mr. Walton presented petitions signed by approximately 172 persons stating that "We, the undersigned residents of Lodi, ask the City Council to reject the proposed widening of South Hutchins Street".

- d) Mr. L. D. Tonn, 349 Shady Acres, Lodi
- e) Mr. Pete Buus, employed by Ekstroms Plumbing and Electrical Supply, Lodi
- f) J. R. Bredesen, 1001 S. Hutchins Street, Lodi
- Curt Kelly, 12 S. Hutchins Street, Lodi
- h) Robert Kidd, 427 West Oak Street, Lodi
- i) Ted Wittmayer, 921 S. Hutchins Street, Lodi
- j) Lester Lautt, 235 S. Hutchins Street, Lodi

The full proceedings of this public hearing were recorded by a qualified reporter and a complete transcript of these proceedings are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

RECESS

Mayor McCarty called for a recess at 9:30 p.m. and the Council reconvened at approximately 9:35 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

cc-45(92)

A lengthy discussion followed with questions being directed to Staff and to persons who had given testimony. On motion of Councilman Pinkerton, Katnich second, Council directed Staff to provide additional information at the regular Council meeting of March 3, 1982 regarding the proposed Hutchins Street Improvement Project, Kettleman Lane to Pine Street, including the one-way streets alternative proposed, and accident information regarding the Hutchins/Kettleman Lane intersection.

RECESS

Mayor McCarty declared a five minute recess, and the Council reconvened at approximately 10:30 p.m.

PLANNING COMMISSION 02-35 (c)

City Manager Glaves gave the following report of the Planning Commission meeting of January 11, 1982:

ITEMS OF INTEREST

The Planning Commission:

- Denied the request of Dennis Swanson, D.C., et al, to rezone the parcel at 1001 Windsor Dr. from R-1, Single-Family Residential to R-C-P, Residential-Commercial-Professional.
- 2. Approved the request of Baumbach and Piazza, Consulting Engineers, on behalf of Clark and McGrath Construction Company for a Revised Tentative Subdivision Map and Use Permit (U-81-46) for STONE TREE, a 2-lot Condominium project proposed for the Southwest corner of South Cherokee Lane and Almond Drive in an area zoned P-D (20), Planned Development District No. 20.

The Commission certified the filing of a Negative Declaration by the Community Development Director as being adequate environmental documentation for this project.

- 3. Approved the request of Terry Piazza, Baumbach and Piazza, Consulting Engineers, on behalf of Phil Muller to combine 7 lots into 3 parcels on South Sacramento Street between Tokay and Chestnut Streets in an area zoned M-2, Heavy Industrial.
- 4. Approved the request of Ermano De Bernard for a Use permit to add facilities for dancing in conjunction with an existing cocktail lounge at the Camelot Restaurant, located at 101 Lakewood Mall in an area zoned C-S, Commercial Shopping.

- Approved the request of B. T. Reeves for variances to (1) reduce the street side yard and (2) allow drive and circulation facilities for off-street parking in the front yard at 300 West Pine Street in an area zoned R-C-P, Residential-Commercial-Professional.
- 6. Extended until January 28, 1983 the Use Permit which allows Holz Rubber Company to use two temporary trailers for offices at 1129 South Sacramento Street in an area zoned M-2, Heavy Industrial.
- 7. Recommended that the San Joaquin County Planning Commission approve the request of Jeff Kirst to vary the required 10,000 square feet for duplex lots to 6,500 square feet in the previously approved Mokelumne AcresSubdivision located on the North side of Augusta Street, 500 feet west of Chestnut Street, Town of Woodbridge.

COMMUNICATIONS

APPEAL REGARDING REZONING OF PARCEL AT 1001 WINDSOR DRIVE SET FOR HEARING

CC-53(b)

ABC LICENSE

0.7(1)

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC CONDEMNATION OF PROPERTY PLACED ON FUTURE AGENDA

(كالهارين

City Clerk Reimche presented an appeal which had been received from Jack L. Gilliland, M.D., regarding the Lodi City Planning Commission's January 11, 1982 denial of the request to rezone the parcel at 1001 Windsor Drive, Lodi, from R-1, Single-Family Residental, to R-C-P, Residential-Commercial-Professional. Dr. Gilliland asked that the matter be set for Public Hearing on Wednesday, February 17, 1982. On motion of Councilman Katnich, Pinkerton second, the matter was set for hearing on February 17, 1982 as requested.

City Clerk Reimche presented an application for Alcoholic Beverage License which had been received from Ermanno and Linda DeBernard, the Camelot, 101 Lakewood Mall, Lodi. On Sale Beer and Wine Eating Place.

On motion of Councilman Pinkerton, Hughes second, the City Clerk was directed to place on the agenda for the February 3, 1982 regular council meeting the request of Morris and Wenell for Public condemnation of Assessor Parcel Nos. 049-020-02 and 049-020-16 (Snell and Jerome). The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes:

Councilmen - Hughes, Pinkerton, and

McCarty.

Noes:

Councilmen - Katnich

Absent:

Councilmen - Murphy

On motion of Councilman Katnich, Pinkerton second, Council denied the following Claims and referred them to R. L. Kautz and Company, the City's Contract Administrator:

Jesus Gonzales

DOL 8/16/81

Margaret Sayler

DOL 9/2/81

CHECK RECEIVED FROM OLD LODI HIGH SCHOOL SITE FOUNDATION

0e-43)

ا (هي الروز العام الوز City Clerk Reimche presented a check in the amount of \$1,200.00 which had been received from the "Old Lodi Union High School Site Foundation". Councilman Pinkerton colored in the appropriate number of blocks on the graph indicating total contributions received to date. A breakdown of the contributions received was given indicating that excluding the heretofore mentioned \$1,200.00, a total of \$255,000.00 had been received.

COMMENTS BY COUNCILMEN

00-2(a)

The following resolution was introduced to the Council by Councilman Pinkerton. A lengthy discussion followed with questions being posed by members of the Council as to the exact charge of the proposed Commission.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI ESTABLISHING AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION IN THE CITY OF LODI

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Lodi finds that it is necessary and essential that the City use all practical means and measures to promote and enhance economic development and increase opportunities for useful employment within the City of Lodi; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Lodi further finds that it is in the City's best interest to seek out industrial and commercial enterprises with the intent of having said industrial and commercial enterprises locate or relocate within the City of Lodi in order to promote and enhance the economic development and increase opportunities for useful employment within the City of Lodi;

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to promote and enhance the economic development and increase opportunities for useful employment within the City of Lodi, the City Council of the City of Lodi hereby establishes an Economic Development Commission.

The Commission shall consist of eight members to be appointed by the Mayor with the consent of the City Council. Said members shall serve at the pleasure of the City Council, and may be removed from office without cause upon an affirmative vote of three (3) Councilmembers.

At least one representative of the following vocational categories shall be selected for membership on the Economic Development Commission:

- (1) Commercial (Business person)
- (2) Development
- (3) Real Estate (4) Financial
- (5) Architecture/Engineering
- (6) Accountancy
- (7) Industrial
- (8) A representative of the San Joaquin County Economic Development Agency

Members of the Economic Development Commission shall serve without compensation. All members of the Commission shall be entitled to such expenses as approved by the City Council.

The terms of the office of the members of the Economic Development Commission shall be four years from the respective date of appointment, provided however, that terms of office of the various members of the Economic Development Commission adopted after the effective date of this Resolution shall be determined by lot so that there will be staggered terms with one member to serve one year, two members to serve two years, two members to serve three years, and two members to serve four years. The representative from San Joaquin County Economic Development Agency shall serve for the same term as said representative serves as a representative to the San Joaquin County Economic Development Agency.

Any member appointed to fill a vacancy occuring prior to the expiration of the term for which the predecessor was appointed shall be appointed for the remainder of such term.

There shall be no restriction on the number of consecutive terms an individual may serve subject to their reappointment by the City Council.

The Economic Development Commission shall have powers to elect its own presiding officer with the title of Chairperson, and such other officers as may be necessary or expedient and to adopt rules of order and procedure.

The Economic Development Commission shall meet at the call of the Chairperson or majority of the Commission, but not less than one time every month. A Majority of the members of the Economic Development Commission shall constitute a quorum; and a majority of the quorum is sufficient for the Commission to take action. The Commission shall keep an accurate record of its proceedings and transactions.

The deliberations of the Economic Development Commission shall be held in public session, but this shall not constitute the sessions as public hearings as a matter of right, open to the participation by members of the general public at large, except at the will of the majority of the Economic Development Commission.

The City of Lodi shall furnish to the Economic Development Commission adequate secretarial assistance, professional support staff, and meeting space, contingent upon the availability of funds. Upon the request of the Economic Development Commission, the City shall also make available the services and advice of various admininstrative departments.

DUTIES:

- (1) The Economic Development Commission shall collect information on opportunities for business and investment in the City of Lodi.
- (2) The Economic Development Commission shall prepare pamphlets explaining opportunities within the City.
- (3) The Economic Development Commission shall prepare pamphlets outlining City regulations affecting businesses.
- (4) The Economic Development Commission shall seek out commercial and industrial businesses for the City of Lodi.
- (5) The Economic Development Commission shall recommend City priorities in the area of economic development through a comprehensive set of goals, objectives, and strategies.
- (6) The Economic Development Commission shall identify and define critical economic development issues, problems, opportunities and constraints.
- (7) The Economic Development Commission shall act as an advisory body to the Lodi City Council on key economic development issues.
- (8) The Economic Development Commission shall assist and facilitate the coordination of local

economic development efforts on those organizations currently involved in the economic development activities.

(9) The Economic Development Commission shall facilitate public and private sector coordination in the development of specific programs and projects.

On motion of Councilman Hughes, Pinkerton second, Council adopted Resolution No. 82-4 set forth above with the following amendments:

RESOLUTION
ESTABLISHING AN
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION IN
THE CITY OF LODI

RES. NO. 82-4

(2,300)

The following sentence was deleted from paragraph thirteen:

"A City of Lodi staff person shall act as an exofficio member of said Commission and shall act as a liaison between the City Council and the Commission".

Item 5 listed under "Duties" was amended to read, "The Economic Development Commission shall recommend and advise the City Council on City priorities in the area of economic development through a comprehensive set of goals, objectives, and strategies".

Council directed that the charge of this Commission be discussed at a future Informal Informational Meeting.

REPORTS OF THE

CONSENT CALENDAR

In accordance with report and recommendation of the City Manager, the following actions, hereby set forth between asterisks, on motion of Councilman Pinkerton, Katnich second, were approved by Council.

CIAIMS 02-21(1)

CLAIMS WERE APPROVED IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,811,221.09

APRIL 13, 1982 GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION Council adopted the following resolutions pertaining to the April 13, 1982 General Municipal Election:

RES. NO. 82-5 and 82-6

Resolution No. 82-5 Establishing City Hall as the Official Election Return Center for the April 13, 1982 General Municipal Election.

ود 300 دد عن

Resolution No. 82-6 - Resolution Authorizing the City Clerk to Canvas the results of the General Municipal Election.

Council received the following bids for one 500 KVA and one 750 KVA three-phase padmount transformer to replace the smaller units at Century Assembly Church on Century Boulevard and Albertson's Save Stores on West Kettleman Lane, respectively, because of increasing loads: (See page 86)

AWARD - THREE-PHASE PADMOUNT TRANSFORMERS On recommendation of the City Manager, Council adopted the following resolution:

RES. NO. 82-7

RESOLUTION NO. 82-7

CC -355

RESOLUTION AWARDING THE BID FOR 3 PHASE PADMOUNTED TRANSFORMERS TO RTE CORPORATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$16,338.84.

٠	
	S BID FVATITATION
	BIJ
THREE-PHASE PADMOTING THANKS	KANSFORME

UD-E81-5

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Delivery (weeks)	SQUIIIIIO	Does not meet City Specifications	14	14-15	!			14	12-13	14-15	
"A" + "B"	Life-Cycle Cost		\$13,745.85	14,207.45	17,021,30			-	\$19,602.09	21,314.80	24,114.95	
"B"	Cost of Losses		\$ 7,428.25	7,359.85	9,431,70				\$10,110,85	11,854.30	13,928.35	
V,,	Price w/tax		\$ 6,317.60	6,847.60	7,589,60	No Bid			\$ 9,491.24	9,460.50	10,186.60	Bid retracted by 1333
	500 KVA	Westinghouse Elec. Supply Co.	RTE Corporation	Maukesha, WI Square D Company	;	Emeryville	750 KVA	RIE Corporation Waukesha, WI	Westinghouse Elec. Supply Co.		San Francisco General Electric Sunmiv. Co	Emeryville

January 20, 1982

SPECS FOR PORTABLE BASKETBALL FLOOR APPROVED

02-1262

CC - 13

COUNCIL APPROVED THE SPECIFICATIONS FOR A PORTABLE BASKETBALL FLOOR AND AUTHORIZED THE PURCHASE AGENT TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS THEREON.

COUNCIL ACCEPTED THE IMPROVEMENTS IN "SALAS PARK IMPROVEMENTS, SPRINKLERS AND TURFING" AND DIRECTED THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR TO FILE A NOTICE OF COMPLETION THEREON.

This contract was awarded to B & V Landscaping of Sacramento on October 15, 1980 in the amount of \$117,960.00 and has been completed in substantial conformance with the plans and specifications approved by the City Council.

1982 CONTRACT WITH LODI DODGERS APPROVED

ري (بارك) مي (غري مي (غري

REPORT REGARDING
STATE STATUTES
PERMITTING THE
POLICE DEPARIMENT
TO CITE VEHICLES
FOR PARKING IN
HANDICAPPED PARKING SPACES IN BOTH
PRIVATE AND
PUBLIC PARKING
LOTS

LIGHTING AT CITY PARKING LOT APPROVED

SALARY ADJUSTMENT FOR COUNCIL MEMBERS EFFECTIVE APRIL 20, 1982

ರ≎್ಶ

Council, on motion of Councilman Katnich, Hughes second, approved the proposed 1982 Contract with the Lodi Dodgers Baseball Club and authorized the City Manager and City Clerk to execute the Contract on behalf of the City.

Council received a report by City Attorney Stein regarding State statutes permitting the Police Department to cite vehicles for parking in handicapped parking spaces in both private and public parking lots.

Following introduction of the matter by City Manager Glaves, Council, on motion of Councilman Hughes, Katnich second, approved the expenditure of \$1,200.00 for lighting at the City Parking lot located at the Southeast corner of Elm and Sacramento Street.

Council was advised that Section 2-82 of the City Code of the City of Lodi provides that "each member of the Council shall receive, as salary, the sum of one hundred fifty dollars per month as prescribed in Section 36514 of the Government Code for cities over five thousand and up to and including thirty-five thousand in population, which shall be payable from and after the operative date of this Article at the same time and in the same manner as the salaries are paid to other officers and employees of the City".

Further, Section 2-84 of the City Code of the City of Lodi provides that "Following any new and later estimate of population made by the department of finance placing the city in a population group other than that set forth in Section 2-83, the salary hereby payable to each member of the council shall be increased or decreased accordingly to equal the sum prescribed for that population group in section 36514 of the Government Code, as added by chapter 286 of the Statutes of 1965; provided, however, that the salary as so increased or decreased shall become payable only on and after the date upon which one or more members of the Council become eligible therefor by virtue of beginning a new term of office following the next succeeding general municipal election held in the city."

The State Department of Finance in accordance with Section 10056, Revenue and Taxation Code, has certified to the Controller that the January 1, 1981 population estimate for the City of Iodi was 35,574.

Following a check of Government Code Sections, we find our City Code Section does not conflict with any recent changes in state law regarding this subject and that pursuant to Section 36516 of the State Government Code, Council members shall receive a salary of \$200.00 per month. The effective date of this adjustment is at such time as one Council member commences a new term of office; namely April 20, 1982.

Discussion followed with questions being raised regarding the matter. Councilmen Hughes stated that he thought it was a poor time for Council to receive such a raise and asked for additional information regarding the matter. With the tacit concurrence of the City Council, the matter was continued to the February 3, 1982 regular meeting.

Council was apprised that the following bids had been received for the Sacramento Street Industrial Wastewater Lift Station Pumps and Motors:

AWARD - CONTRACT FOR SACRAMENTO STREET INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER LIFT STATION PUMPS AND MOTORS

RES. NO. 82-8

0. 82-8 02-13(2) 02-350 BIDDER AMOUNT

San Joaquin Pump Co. No bid

Shape, Inc. \$17,488.00

On recommendation of the City Manager, Council, on motion of Councilman Hughes, Katnich second, adopted Resolution No. 82-8 awarding the contract for this project to Shape, Inc., in the amount of \$17,488.00.

Council was apprised that the following bids had been received for the Salas Park Sports Complex:

SALAS PARK LIGHTING MATERIAL - UD-E81-4

BIDDER	QUOTED PRICE	AMOUNT
Birdsong	\$38,978.32	\$38,978.32
GESCO	26,952.89	43,978.89
Willie	27,139.18	44,165.18
Consolidated	34,519.96	50,339.96
Valley	34,687.44	50,507.44

On recommendation of the City Manager, Council, on motion of Councilman Hughes, Katnich second, adopted resolution No. 82-9 awarding the contract for "Salas Park Sports Complex" to Birdsong in the amount of \$38,978.32.

Council received the following report by City Manager Glaves regarding retirement projections of Administrative personnel:

"At its meeting of December 9, 1981, the City Council requested that I assess the retirement plans of myself and those of the department heads. I have reviewed my own situation and conducted meetings with each of the department heads in compliance with the City Councils request.

My own plans have not significantly changed. I am tentatively looking at about one more year of City service. While I have not developed any definite post retirement plans, my personal considerations indicate a date around the end of the year. In any event, I can assure the City Council that I will comply its request for six months notice prior to the retirement date.

AWARD - CONTRACT SALAS PARK SPORTS COMPLEX

RES. NO. 82-9

REPORT OF CITY
MANAGER REGARDING
RETIREMENT
PROJECTIONS OF
ADMINISTRATIVE
PERSONNEL

22-6

"I believe it is understandable that retirement dates for department heads will be considerably more tentative because they deal with longer range planning. Accordingly, the following dates are submitted for your information:

NAME	POSITION	PROJECTED RETIREMENT DATE
Jack Ronsko	Public works director	January 1995
Fred Wilson	Finance Director	April 1982
Jim Schroeder	Community Development Director	March 1993
Dave Curry	Utility Director	January 1995
Marc Yates	Police Chief	November 1985
Don MacLeod	Fire Chief	July 1987
Ed DeBenedettí	Parks and Recreation Director	December 1983
Jerry Glenn	Assistant City Manager	November 1994
Ron Stein	City Attorney	2001
Alice Reimche	City Clerk	January 1995

Council was reminded that at its meeting of December 9, 1981, the City Council requested "that the language of Section 17-2-1 of the City Code be clarified to provide, in as much as possible, adequate advance notice to the City Council of planned retirements of other key personnel". City Manager Glaves reported that he had reviewed this request with the City Attorney and concluded that such a provision would be added by ordinance to the code as Section 17-2.2. There is a question as to whether it is appropriate to place this provision in the code in order to achieve the desired purpose. A City Code provision might conflict with the specific provisions of the State inactments governing the same provision thus setting up a legal situation which might have to be dealt with in the courts.

The City Attorney has drafted the following language to satisfy the City Council's request which can be either inacted as an ordinance or as a policy statement:

POLICY STATEMENT
ADOPTED RE ADVANCED
NOTIFICATION OF
RETIREMENT OF KEY
PERSONNEL
CC-34

"Retirement -

Any department or designated management personnel other than the City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk and City Treasurer, wishing to retire from service with the City, shall file with the City Manager at least 6 months before retirement, a written notice of intent to retire.

The City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk, and City Treasurer wishing to retire from service with the City, shall file with the City Council at least 6 months before retirement, a written notice of intent to retire."

Following discussion, Council, on motion of Councilman Hughes, Katnich second, directed that the heretofore set forth language be included in the Administrative Policy Manual of the City of Lodi.

ROBERT S. FULLER RE-APPOINTED TO N.S.J. MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT

-02-2(°)

ORD INANCES

oc-5322)

ORD. AMENDING P-D (15) TO PERMIT DUPLEXES ON CORNER LOTS IN BECKMAN RANCH SUBDIVISION UNITS IV AND V

ORDINANCE REZONING
THE 10 ACRE EAST
SIDE WINERY PROPERTY
AT 1550 VICTOR ROAD
(STATE HIGHWAY 12)
FROM U-H, UNCLASSFFIED HOLDING TO M-2,
HEAVY INDUSTRIAL

ORD. NO. 1249 CE - (44)
ADOPTED

Mayor McCarty, with the concurrence of the City Council, on motion of Councilman Katnich, Pinkerton second, reappointed Robert S. Fuller to serve a two-year term as Iodi's representative on the Board of Trustees, Northern San Joaquin Mosquito Abatement District.

Ordinance No. 1248 - Ordinance amending P-D (15), Planned Development District No. 15 to permit duplexes on corner lots in Beckman Ranch Subdivision, Units IV and V having been introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council held January 6, 1982 was brought up for passage after reading by title. On motion of Councilman Katnich, Pinkerton second, the Ordinance was then adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen - Hughes, Katnich,

Pinkerton, and McCarty

(Mayor)

Noes: Councilmen - None

Absent: Councilmen - Murphy

Ordinance No. 1249 - Ordinance rezoning the 10 acre East Side Winery property at 1550 Victor Road (State Highway 12) from U-H Unclassified Holding to M-2, Heavy Industrial having been introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council held January 6, 1982 was brought up for passage after reading by title. On motion of Councilman Katnich, Hughes second, the Ordinance was then adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes:

Councilmen - Hughes, Katnich,

Pinkerton, McCarty

(Mayor)

Noes:

Councilmen - None

Absent:

Councilmen - Murphy

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Council, Mayor McCarty adjourned the meeting at approximately 11:22 p.m.

Attest:

Oller M. Elemele
ALICE M. REIMCHE
City Clerk